UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

IN RE: PROTON-PUMP INHIBITOR PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITGATION

DONALD MILLER and JANE MILLER,

Plaintiff,

v.

ASTRAZENECA PHARMACEUTICALS LP and ASTRAZENCA LP.,

Defendants.

MDL NO. 2789 (CCC)(MF)

COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND

DIRECT FILED ACTION

Case Management Order No. 7 Matter

SHORT FORM COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND

The Plaintiff(s) named below file(s) this *Short Form Complaint and Demand for Jury Trial* against Defendants named below by and through their undersigned counsel and as permitted by Case Management Order No. 7. Plaintiff(s) incorporate(s) by reference the allegations contained in *Plaintiffs' Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand in In re: Proton-Pump Inhibitor Products Liability Litigation*, MDL 2789, in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey pursuant to Case Management Order No. 7.

In addition to those causes of action contained in *Plaintiffs' Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand*, where certain claims require specific pleadings and/or amendments, Plaintiff(s) shall add and include them herein.

IDENTIFICATION OF PARTIES

Identification of Plaintiff(s)

1.	Name of in	dividual injure	ed/deceased due t	to the use of PPI Prod	luct(s):
Donal	d Miller.				
2.	Consortiun	n Claim(s): Th	ne following indi	vidual(s) allege damag	ges for loss of
conso	rtium: <u>Jane</u>	Miller			
3.	Survival and	or Wrongful	Death Claims:		
	a. Plaintif	f, <u>N/A</u>	, is fil	ing this case in a repre	esentative capacity
	as the	N/A		of the Estate of	N/A ,
	decease	d.			
	b. Surviva	l Claim(s): Tl	ne following indi	vidual(s) allege damaş	ges for survival
	claims,	as permitted u	nder applicable s	tate laws: N/A	
4.	As a result	of using PPI F	Products, Plaintiff	/Decedent suffered pa	nin and suffering,
emotio	onal distress,	nental anguish	, and personal ar	nd economic injur(ies)	that are alleged to
have b	een caused by	the use of the	e PPI Products ide	entified in Paragraph	10, below, but not
limited	d to the follow	ing:			
		wrongful do survivorshi economic lo loss of serv loss of cons	e person represent eath p action oss ices		

Identification of Defendants

5. Plaintiff(s)/Decedent is/are suing the following Defendant(s) (please check all that

apply):		
		Abbott Laboratories
	\boxtimes	AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP
		AstraZeneca PLC
		AstraZeneca AB
		Zeneca Inc.
		Astra US Holding Corporation
		Astra USA LLC
	\boxtimes	AstraZeneca LP
		KBI Sub, Inc.
		GlaxoSmithKline Consumer Healthcare Holdings (US) LLC
		GlaxoSmithKline Consumer Healthcare LP
		GlaxoSmithKline Consumer Healthcare Holdings (US) IP LLC
		Merck & Co. Inc. d/b/a Merck, Sharp & Dohme Corporation
		Novartis Corporation
		Novartis Pharmaceutical Corporation
		Novartis Vaccines and Diagnostics, Inc.
		Novartis Institutes for Biomedical Research, Inc.
		Novartis Consumer Health, Inc.
		Pfizer, Inc.
		The Procter & Gamble Company
		Procter & Gamble Manufacturing Company

		Takeda Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.
		Takeda Pharmaceuticals America, Inc.
		Takeda Pharmaceuticals LLC
		Takeda Pharmaceuticals International, Inc.
		Takeda California, Inc.
		Takeda Development Center Americas, Inc. f/k/a Takeda Global Research & Development Center, Inc.
		Takeda Pharmaceutical Company Limited
		TAP Pharmaceutical Products, Inc. f/k/a TAP Holdings Inc.
		Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
		Wyeth-Ayerst Laboratories
		Wyeth LLC
		Other(s) Defendant(s) (please identify):
		JURISDICTION & VENUE
<u>Jurisdic</u>	tion:	
6.	Jurisdi	ction in this Short Form Complaint is based on:
	\boxtimes	Diversity of Citizenship
		Other (The basis of any additional ground for jurisdiction must be pled in
sufficien	t detail	as required by the applicable Federal Rules of Civil Procedure)

V	enue:

District Court(s) in which venue was proper where you might have otherwise filed 7. this Short Form Complaint absent Case Management Order No. 7 entered by this Court and/or to where remand could be ordered: Pennsylvania Eastern District Court

		CASE SPECIFIC FACTS	
8.	Plaintiff(s) currently reside(s) in (City, State): <u>Beinigsville, Pennsylvania.</u>		
9.	To the best of Plaintiff's knowledge, Plaintiff/Decedent used PPI Product(s) during		
the fo	llowing t	ime period: Approximately 2007-2015 .	
10.	Plaint	ff/Decedent used the following PPI Products, for which claims are being	
asserte	ed:		
		Dexilant	
	\boxtimes	Nexium	
		Nexium 24HR	
		Prevacid	
		Prevacid 24HR	
		Prilosec	
		Prilosec OTC	
		Protonix	
		Other (List All):	

The injuries suffered by Plaintiff/Decedent as a result of the use of PPI Products 11.

include, ar	nong	others that will be set forth in Plaintiff's discovery responses and medical
records:		
		Acute Interstitial Nephritis (AIN)
		Acute Kidney Injury (AKI)
	\boxtimes	Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD)
		End Stage Renal Disease (ESRD)
		Dialysis
		Death
	\boxtimes	Other(s) (please specify): Kidney Failure

12. At the time of the Plaintiff's/Decedent's diagnosis of injury, Plaintiff/Decedent resided in (City, State): <u>Breinigsville, Pennsylvania...</u>

CAUSES OF ACTION

- 13. Plaintiff(s), again, hereby adopt(s) and incorporate(s) by reference the *Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand* as if fully set forth herein.
- 14. The following claims and allegations asserted in the Master *Long Form*Complaint and Jury Demand are herein more specifically adopted and incorporated by reference by Plaintiff(s) please check all that apply):
 - ☐ Count I: Strict Product Liability

	Count III: Strict Product Liability – Failure to Warn
\boxtimes	Count IV: Negligence
\boxtimes	Count V: Negligence Per Se
\boxtimes	Count VI: Breach of Express Warranty
\boxtimes	Count VII: Breach of Implied Warranty
\boxtimes	Count VIII: Negligent Misrepresentation
\boxtimes	Count IX: Fraud and Fraudulent Misrepresentation
\boxtimes	Count X: Fraudulent Concealment
\boxtimes	Count XI: Violation of State Consumer Protection Laws of the State(s) of: Pennsylvania .
	Count XII: Loss of Consortium
	Count XIII: Wrongful Death
	Count XIV: Survival Action
	Furthermore, Plaintiff(s) assert(s) the following additional theories and/or
Causes of Act	tion against Defendant(s) identified in Paragraph five (5) above. If Plaintiff(s)
includes addit	cional theories of recovery, to the extent they require specificity in pleadings,
the specific fa	acts and allegations supporting these theories must be pled by Plaintiff(s) in a
manner comp	lying with the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure:

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff(s) pray(s) for relief and judgment against Defendants of compensatory damages, punitive damages, interest, costs of suit and such further relief as the Court deems equitable and just, and as set forth in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand, as appropriate.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff(s) hereby demand a trial by jury as to all claims in this action.

Dated: May2, 2018

Respectfully Submitted,

NAPOLI SHKOLNIK, PLLC

/s/ Christopher R. LoPalo Christopher R. LoPalo, Esq. 400 Broadhollow Road, Suite 305 Melville, New York 11747 T: (212) 397-1000

F: (646) 927-1676

CloPalo@NapoliLaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff